

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/918,293	09/918,293 07/30/2001		Elisabeth Smela	S-80,400	9877	
27479	7590	09/17/2004		EXAMINER		
COCHRAN 3555 STAN		D & YOUNG L	DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M			
SUITE 230	rokd ko	AD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COL	LINS, CO	80525	2834			

2834

DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary			09/918,29	3	SMELA ET AL.						
			Examiner		Art Unit						
				. Dougherty	2834						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status											
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2004.										
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.										
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims											
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers											
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2002 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.											
Attachment				_							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		·	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: .							

Application/Control Number: 09/918,293

Art Unit: 2834

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments are persuasive and the rejections based on 35 USC § 112 are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Brotz (US 6,161,382). Brotz shows (e.g. fig. 7) an actuator having at least one surface having a larger area than other surfaces thereof and consisting of a conjugated polymer material (middle layers between 144 and 146), whereby said material expands when an electrical voltage is applied between two locations thereof (between electrodes 144 and 146) and contracts when the electrical voltage is reduced, neither of the two locations being coextensive with the at least one surface having a larger area. Said conjugated polymeric material comprises polyaniline (col. 4, II. 11-13). A method of actuation comprising the step of directly electrically stimulating a conjugated polymeric material at two locations (between electrodes 144 and 146) thereof. As noted said conjugated polymeric material comprises polyaniline.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brotz (US 6,161,382). Given the invention of Brotz as noted above, Brotz does not note doping of the polyaniline. The applicants' note in their remarks however that such is common in the art. As such, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the commonly used doped polyaniline in any of their inventions.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All additional references cited show electroded conjugated polymer materials in which the electrodes are not coextensive with the conjugated polymer materials.

Direct inquiry concerning this action to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

tmd

September 16, 2004

GROUP 2900